Aggregate Facility Study SPP-2012-AG2-AFS-8

3/13/2014

SPP Engineering, SPP Transmission Service Studies



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Executive Summary	2
Introduction	3
Financial Analysis	5
Third-Party Facilities	6
Make-Whole Payment	7
Study Methodology	7
Description	7
Model Development	8
Transmission Request Modeling	8
Transfer Analysis	9
Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation	9
Study Results	10
Study Analysis Results	10
Example A:	11
Example B:	11
Example C:	11
Study Definitions	12
Conclusion	12
Appendix A	14
BASE CASE SETTINGS:	14
ACCC CASE SETTINGS.	1/1

Executive Summary

Pursuant to Attachment Z1 of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 1,841 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been studied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability, as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z1 is the sharing of costs among Transmission Customers using the same facility. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility.

Attachment Z2 further provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating: "Transmission Customers paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Service Upgrades or that are in excess of the Safe Harbor Cost Limit for Network Upgrades associated with new or changed Designated Resources and Project Sponsors paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Sponsored Upgrades shall receive revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. Generation Interconnection Customers paying for Network Upgrades shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z1."

- The AFS determined that the total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E&C) cost is \$2.8 million. Additionally, no third party facility upgrades are assignable to the customer.
- Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is \$0.

To accommodate the requested SPP Transmission Service, third-party facilities must be upgraded when the third-party transmission provider determines that they are constrained. Third-party facilities include both first-tier neighboring facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, no third-party facilities were identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable.

SPP will tender an Aggregate Completion Agreement on March 13, 2014. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), SPP must receive from the Customer by March 28, 2014, an executed Aggregate Completion Agreement. The Aggregate Completion Agreement will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to withdraw the request or leave the request in study mode.

At the conclusion of the ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered identifying the terms and conditions of the confirmed service.

If Customers withdraw from the ATSS after posting of this AFS, the AFS will be re-performed to determine final cost allocation and Available Transmission Capability (ATC) in consideration of the remaining ATSS participants. All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the Customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon validation of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

Introduction

Important milestones and dates in SPP's Aggregate Transmission Study process:

- In 2005, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) accepted SPP's proposed Aggregate Transmission Study procedures in Docket ER05-109.
- In 2008, in Docket ER08-1379-000 SPP filed with FERC to pair open seasons closing during January 2010 with an effective date of August 9, 2008.
- In January 2010, in Docket ER10-659-000 SPP filed with FERC to extend its current practice of pairing open seasons through January 31, 2011, with an effective date of January 28, 2010.
- In March 2010, in Docket ER10-659-000 FERC issued a letter order accepting SPP's proposal to continue to pair open seasons through January 31, 2011, effective January 28, 2010.
- All requests for long-term transmission service with a signed study agreement received before June 1, 2012 for 2012-AG2 have been included in this second Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) of 2012.

The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 6. Detailed results depict individual upgrade costs by study and potential base plan allowances determined by Attachments J and Z1. The OATT may be accessed at SPP's website by going to SPP.org>Org Groups>Governing Documents.

To understand the extent to which Base Plan Upgrades may be applied to both Point-to-Point (PTP) and Network Transmission Services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is:

"[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis."

Network and PTP service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

- 1. Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have a duration of at least five years.
- 2. During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of:
 - a. The planned maximum net dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or
 - b. The requested capacity; shall not exceed 125% of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z1 Section VI.A, PTP customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service Customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned Network Upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z2.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances, due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or Customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer because SPP, the Transmission Provider, determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned Network Upgrades. Table 7 (if applicable) lists

deferment of expansion plan projects with different upgrades with the new required in service date as a result of this AFS.

By taking the transmission service subject to interim redispatch, the Transmission Customer agrees to provide interim redispatch. Once the Transmission Provider identifies the possible redispatch pairs, the Transmission Customer can enter into bilateral agreements to provide redispatch. Should the need to implement redispatch arise in order to maintain Network reliability, it is up to the Transmission Customer to contact parties with whom they have entered into redispatch agreements to implement that service. Such redispatch shall occur in advance of curtailment of other firm reservations impacting these constraints. In the absence of implementation of interim redispatch as requested by the Transmission Provider for Transmission Customer transactions resulting in overloads on limiting facilities, the Transmission Provider shall curtail the Transmission Customers schedule.

Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated Customer's E&C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, Network Upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. If the Customer chose Option 2, Redispatch, in the Aggregate Completion Agreement, the present worth analysis of revenue requirements will be based on the deferred term with redispatch in the subsequent AFS. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E&C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities; salvage value of removed non-usable facilities; and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be accelerated, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses though the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include:

- 1. The levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation,
- 2. The levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and
- 3. The levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both:

- a. The reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and
- b. A reservation, if any, in which the project was previously accelerated.

In the case of a Base Plan Upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a requested upgrade, achievable base plan avoided revenue requirements shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.B methodology. A deferred Base Plan Upgrade is defined as a different requested Network Upgrade needed at an earlier date that negates the need for the initial Base Plan Upgrade within the planning horizon. A displaced Base Plan Upgrade is defined as the same Network Upgrade being displaced by a requested upgrade needed at an earlier date.

A 40-year service life assumption is utilized for Base Plan funded projects, unless another assumption is provided by the Transmission Owner. A present worth analysis of revenue requirements on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan revenue requirements due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan Upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The difference in present worth between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades is assigned to the transmission requests impacting this upgrade based on the displacement or deferral.

Third-Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider's OATT. In this AFS, no third-party facilities were identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facilities upgrade E&C cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system Network Upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this study, as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. An agreement between the Customer and third party owner detailing the mitigation of the third party impact must be provided to the Transmission Provider prior to tendering of a Transmission Service Agreement. These facilities also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT. Upgrades on the Southwest Power Administration network requires prepayment of the upgrade cost prior to construction of the upgrade.

Third-party facilities are evaluated for only those requests whose load sinks within the SPP footprint. The Customer must arrange for study of third party facilities for load that sinks outside the SPP footprint with the applicable Transmission Providers.

Make-Whole Payment

Make-whole payment (MWP) is a potential cost that may be allocated to a Withdrawn Request inside an Aggregate Facilities Study (AFS). The MWP for the Withdrawn Request(s) is determined as the sum of the increase in Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs (DAUC) for the remaining requests in the AFS. If a MWP is required, the customer(s) with the Withdrawn Request(s) shall be obligated to pay such costs pursuant to the ACA.

If multiple requests are withdrawn at the conclusion of this study iteration, then the impact of each Withdrawn Request on the shared upgrades causing an increase in DAUC for the remaining requests in the AFS with shared costs shall be determined. Upgrade costs for facilities allocated solely to the Withdrawn Request(s) will not be included in the MWP calculation. If a MWP is required for a Withdrawn Request, the customer shall enter into a Sponsored Upgrade Agreement with SPP in accordance with Attachment J and will be eligible for revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. For additional details, refer to the Tariff language.

The MWP has not been calculated in this report posting. However, one can be assessed by the following:

- 1. Refer to Table 3 of the relevant AFS and identify the Service Upgrades allocated to the request.
- 2. For Service Upgrades where "Allocated E&C Cost" is less than the "Total E&C Cost," sum the "Total Revenue Requirements."
- 3. The sum calculated in (2) is the maximum potential MWP.

In most cases, the MWP will not include costs of non-shared upgrades. Non-shared upgrade costs may be included in the event that SPP grants service in a subsequent study that required the use of the non-shared upgrade.

Study Methodology

Description

The facility study analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier non-SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was performed to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements are fulfilled. SPP conforms to NERC Reliability Standards, which provide strict requirements related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. NERC Standards require all facilities to be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP Model Development Working Group (MDWG) models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 105% and 95%. The upper bound and lower bound

of the emergency voltage range monitored is 105% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 103.5% and 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above; first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above; any defined contingencies for these control areas; and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier non-SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN (Ameren), and ENTR (Entergy) control areas. A 2 % TDF cutoff was applied to WAPA. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

Model Development

SPP used six seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers over a variety of requested service periods. The following SPP Transmission Expansion Plan 2012 Build 1 Cases were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system:

2014 Summer Peak (14SP) 2014/15 Winter Peak (14WP)

2018 Summer Peak (18SP)

2016 Sullillici I cak (1651)

2018/19 Winter Peak (18WP)

2023 Summer Peak (23SP)

2023/2024 Winter Peak (23WP)

The Summer Peak models apply to June through September and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the current modeling information. One group of requests was developed from the aggregate to model the requested service. From the seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 0 includes projected usage of transmission included in the SPP 2012 Series Cases. Scenario 5 includes transmission service not already included in the SPP 2012 Series Cases.

Transmission Request Modeling

Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation transfers. Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation because the requested Network Integration Transmission Service is a request to serve network load with the new designated network resource, and the impacts on Transmission System are determined accordingly. Point-To-Point Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Generation transfers. Generation to Generation transfers are accomplished by developing a post-transfer case for comparison by dispatching the request source and redispatching the request sink.

Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and 1st-Tier) and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period in which SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on and may impair Transmission System reliability, SPP will take whatever actions are reasonably necessary to maintain reliability. If SPP determines Transmission System reliability can be maintained by redispatching resources, it will evaluate the interim curtailment of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer's use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is evaluated to provide only interim service. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is only evaluated at the request of the transmission Customer.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount was greater than 1 MW, the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit.

Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). Relief pairs from the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units with a greater than 3% TDF on the limiting constraint were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with highest generation shift factors. If the aggregate redispatch amount for the potential relief pair was determined to be three times greater than the lower of the increment or decrement, then the pair was determined not to be feasible and is not included. Transmission Customers can request SPP to provide additional relief pairs beyond those determined. The potential relief pairs were not evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and first tier systems. The SPP Reliability Coordinator would call upon the redispatch requirements before implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

The Aggregate Study analyzes the most probable contingencies and does not account for every situation that may be encountered in real-time operation. Because of this, it is possible that the customer may be curtailed under certain system conditions to allow system operators to maintain the reliability of the transmission network.

Study Results

Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 6 contain the AFS steady-state analysis results. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term Transmission Service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (based on Customer selection of redispatch if available) and the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact.

Table 2 identifies total E&C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E&C cost assignments, potential base plan E&C funding (lower of allocated E&C or Attachment J Section III B criteria), point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. In addition, Table 2 identifies SWPA upgrade costs which require prepayment in addition to other allocated costs.

Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E&C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to the Customer but required for service to be confirmed, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS or Generation Interconnection Network Upgrades, and any required third party upgrades.

Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide Transmission Service for the AFS, minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, earliest date upgrade is required (DUN), estimated date the upgrade will be completed, in service (EOC), and estimated E&C cost.

Table 5 lists identified third-party constrained facilities.

Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service. MW amounts listed for redispatch are maximum values observed in a long term study and may only be available in a reduced amount or unavailable at any given time.

Table 7 (if applicable) identifies deferred expansion plan projects that were replaced with requested upgrades at earlier dates.

The potential base plan funding allowable is contingent on meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J. If the additional capacity of the new or changed Designated Resource exceeds the 125% resource to load forecast for the year of start of service, the requested resource is not eligible for base plan funding of required Network Upgrades and the full cost of the upgrades is assignable to the Customer.

If the request is for wind generation, the total requested capacity of wind generation plus existing wind generation capacity shall not exceed 20% of the customer's projected system peak responsibility in the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the customer. If the five-year term and 125% resource to load criteria are met, (as well as the 20% wind resource to load

criteria for wind generation requests) the requested capacity is multiplied by \$180,000 to determine the potential base plan funding allowable. The maximum potential base plan funding allowable may be less than the potential base plan funding allowable, due to the E&C cost allocated to the customer being lower than the potential amount allowable to the Customer. The Customer is responsible for any assigned upgrade costs in excess of potential base plan E&C funding allowable. Network Upgrades required for wind generation requests located in a zone other than the Customer POD shall be allocated as 67% base plan region-wide charge and 33% directly assigned to the Customer.

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of "OR" pricing.

However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of "OR" pricing would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

Example A:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$47 million, with the difference of \$27 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is \$54 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount (so-called "or pricing") of \$101 million base rate of which \$54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$86 million (\$140 million less \$54 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example B:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million with the difference of \$64 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is \$128 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount of \$128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$12 million (\$140 million less \$128 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example C:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$25 million with revenue requirements of \$50 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher amount of PTP base rate of \$101 million must be paid and the \$50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The 125% resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of Designated Resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP attestation statements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested Designated Resource is committed for a minimum five-year duration.

Study Definitions

- The date upgrade needed date (DUN) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests.
- End of construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service.
- Total engineering and construction cost (E&C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the Transmission Owner.
- The Transmission Customer's allocation of the E&C cost is based on the request (1) having an impact of at least 3% on the limiting element, and (2) having a positive impact on the upgraded facility.
- Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated without upgrading facilities.
- Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional Transmission System. Due to these constraints, Transmission Service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The Transmission Provider will tender an Aggregate Completion Agreement on March 13, 2014. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer by March 28, 2014, an executed Aggregate Completion Agreement. The Aggregate Completion Agreement will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated E&C costs assigned to the Customer. This letter of credit is not

required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. The Transmission Provider will issue notifications to construct Network Upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner after filing of necessary service agreements at FERC.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASE SETTINGS:

• Solutions: Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution

(FDNS)

Tap adjustment: Stepping

Area Interchange Control: Tie lines and loads
 Var limits: Apply immediately

Solution Options:

X Phase shift adjustment

Flat start

Lock DC taps

Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASE SETTINGS:

• Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)

MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
System intact rating: Rate A
Contingency case rating: Rate B
Percent of rating: 100
Output code: Summary

Min flow change in overload report: 3mw
Excld cases w/ no overloads from report: YES
Exclude interfaces from report: NO
Perform voltage limit check: YES
Elements in available capacity table: 60000
Cutoff threshold for available capacity 99999.0

table:

Min. contng. Case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
 Sorted output: None

Newton Solution:

Tap adjustment: Stepping

• Area interchange control: Tie lines and loads (Disabled for generator

outages)

• Var limits: Apply immediately

• Solution options: \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment

_ Flat start

_ Lock DC taps

_ Lock switched shunts

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date without interim redispatch (ACA Parameter 3)	Deferred Stop Date without interim redispatch	Start Date with interim redispatch	Stop Date with interim redispatch	Minimum Allocated ATC (MW) within reservation period	Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period
AEPM	AG2-2012-037	76971840	CSWS	CSWS	10	6/1/2016	6/1/2021	6/1/2016	6/1/2021	6/1/2016	6/1/2021	0	18SP
CRGL	AG2-2012-006	76874347	WR	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	6/1/2016 (Note 5)	6/1/2017 (Note 5)	8/1/2014 (Note 5)	8/1/2015 (Note 5)	0	14SP
CRGL	AG2-2012-007	76874349	CSWS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	6/1/2016 (Note 5)	6/1/2017 (Note 5)	8/1/2014 (Note 5)	8/1/2015 (Note 5)	0	14WP
CRGL	AG2-2012-009	76874355	WR	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	6/1/2017 (Note 5)	6/1/2018 (Note 5)	8/1/2015 (Note 5)	8/1/2016 (Note 5)	0	23SP
CRGL	AG2-2012-010	76874356	CSWS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	6/1/2017 (Note 5)	6/1/2018 (Note 5)	8/1/2015 (Note 5)	8/1/2016 (Note 5)	0	14WP
CRGL	AG2-2012-012	76874362	WR	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2016	1/1/2017	6/1/2018 (Note 5)	6/1/2019 (Note 5)	8/1/2016 (Note 5)	8/1/2017 (Note 5)	0	23WP
CRGL	AG2-2012-013	76874364	CSWS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2016	1/1/2017	6/1/2018 (Note 5)	6/1/2019 (Note 5)	8/1/2016 (Note 5)	8/1/2017 (Note 5)	0	23WP
CRGL	AG2-2012-024	76970366	OKGE	EES	100	12/1/2013	12/1/2018	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	8/1/2014	8/1/2019	0	14SP
GRDX	AG2-2012-002	76771987	OKGE	GRDA	48	12/1/2012	2/1/2033	8/1/2014	10/1/2034	8/1/2014	10/1/2034	0	23SP
GSECGS	AG2-2012-020	76945034	SPS	SPS	15	1/1/2015	12/31/2039	6/1/2017	6/1/2042	1/1/2015	12/31/2039	0	23SP
GSECGS	AG2-2012-021	76945060	SPS	SPS	15	1/1/2015	12/31/2039	6/1/2017	6/1/2042	1/1/2015	12/31/2039	0	23SP
HZN	AG2-2012-018	76941507	WR	EES	85	1/1/2015	1/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	Note 4	Note 4	0	14WP
KCPS	AG2-2012-033	76971296	KCPL	MPS	170	6/1/2014	6/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	8/1/2014	8/1/2019	0	14SP
PSCM	AG2-2012-022	76955870	SPS	LAM345	101	3/1/2013	3/1/2018	4/30/2015	4/30/2020	Note 4	Note 4	0	14SP
SECI	AG2-2012-015	76902942	SECI	SECI	55	1/1/2014	1/1/2044	6/1/2016	6/1/2046	8/1/2014	8/1/2044	0	14WP
					1841			•			•		

Note 1: Start and Stop Dates with interim redispatch are determined based on customers choosing option to pursue redispatch to start service at Requested Start and Stop Dates or earliest date possible.

Note 2: Start dates with and without redispatch are based on the assumed completion dates of previous Aggregate Transmission Service Studies currently being conducted. Actual start dates may differ from the potential start dates upon completion of the

Note 3: Request is unable to be deferred due to fixed stop dates.

Note 4: Transmission customer did not select "remain in the study using interim redispatch" option.

Note 5: Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	¹ Letter of Credit Amount Required (ACA Parameter 5)	² Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	Notes	⁴ Additional Engineering and Construction Cost for 3rd Party Upgrades (ACA Parameter 2)	³⁵ Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Point-to-Point Base Rate Over Reservation Period	⁴ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding	Directly Assigned Upgrade Cost (DAUC) (ACA Parameter 1)
AEPM	AG2-2012-037	76971840		\$ -	\$.		\$.	\$	\$	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	
CRGL	AG2-2012-006	76874347	\$	\$ -	\$.	6,9	\$.	\$.	\$ 4,711,353		
CRGL	AG2-2012-007	76874349		\$ -	\$.	6,9	\$.	\$	\$ 4,711,353		
CRGL	AG2-2012-009	76874355	\$	\$ -	\$ -	7,9	\$.	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ 4,711,353	- \$
CRGL	AG2-2012-010	76874356	\$	\$ -	\$ -	7,9	\$ -	\$	\$ 4,711,353	\$ 4,711,353	- \$
CRGL	AG2-2012-012	76874362	\$	\$ -	\$	8,9	\$.	\$	\$ 4,711,353	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -
CRGL	AG2-2012-013	76874364	\$	\$ -	\$	8,9	\$.	\$	\$ 4,711,353	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -
CRGL	AG2-2012-024	76970366	\$	\$ -	\$ -		\$.	\$	\$ 7,680,000	\$ 7,680,000	-
GRDX	AG2-2012-002	76771987	\$.	\$ -	\$.		\$.	\$	\$	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
GSECGS	AG2-2012-020	76945034	\$ 200,713	\$ -	\$ 200,713		\$.	\$	\$	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
GSECGS	AG2-2012-021	76945060	\$ 200,713	\$ -	\$ 200,713		\$.	\$	\$	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
HZN	AG2-2012-018	76941507	\$.	\$ -	\$.		\$.	\$	\$ 6,528,000	\$ 6,528,000	-
KCPS	AG2-2012-033	76971296	\$ 2,391,200	\$ -	\$ 2,391,200		\$.	\$	\$	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
PSCM	AG2-2012-022	76955870	\$.	\$ -	\$ -		\$.	\$	\$ 11,235,240	\$ 11,235,240	-
SECI	AG2-2012-015	76902942	\$.	\$ -	\$ -		\$.	\$.	\$	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
Grand Total			\$ 2,792,626	•	\$ 2,792,626	·		\$			0

Note 1: Letter of Credit required for financial security for transmission owner for network upgrades is determined by allocated engineering and construction costs less engineering and construction costs for upgrades when network customer is the transmission owner less the E & C allocation of expedited projects. Letter of Credit is required for upgrades assigned to PTP requests. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The Letter Of Credit Amount listed is based on meeting OATT Attachment J requirements for base plan funding.

Note 2: If potential base plan funding is applicable, this value is the lesser of the Engineering and Construction costs of assignable upgrades or the value of base plan funding calculated pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B criteria. Allocation of base plan funding is contingent upon verification of customer agreements meeting Attachment J, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if Point-to-Point base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

Note 3: Revenue Requirements (RR) are based upon deferred end dates if applicable. Deferred dates are based upon customer's choice to pursue redispatch. Achievable Base Plan Avoided RR in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.C methodology. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects. A present worth analysis of RR on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan RR due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The incremental increase in present worth of a Requested Upgrade on a common year basis as a Base Plan upgrade is assigned to the transmission requests impacting the upgrade based on the displacement or deferral. If the displacement analysis results in lower RR due to the shorter amortization period of the requested upgrade when compared to a base plan amortization period, then no direct assignment of the upgrade cost is made due to the displacement to an earlier start date.

Note 4: For Point-to-Point requests, total cost is based on the higher of the base rate or assigned upgrade revenue requirements. For Network requests, the total cost is based on the assigned upgrade revenue requirement. Allocation of base plan funding will be determined after verification of designated resource meeting Attachment J, Section II B Criteria. Additionally E & C of 3rd Party upgrades is assignable to Customer. This includes prepayments required for any SWPA upgrades. Revenue requirements for 3rd Party facilities are not calculated. Total cost to customer is based on assumption of Revenue Requirements with confirmation of base plan funding. Customer is responsible for negotiating redispatch costs if applicable. Customer is also responsible to pay credits for previously assigned upgrades that are impacted by their request. Credits can be paid from base plan funding if applicable.

Note 5: RR with base plan funding may increase or decrease even if no base plan funding is applicable to a particular request if another request that shares the upgrade is now full base plan funded resulting in a different amortization period for the upgrade and thus different RR.

Note 6: Mutually exclusive requests: 76874347 and 76874349. System impacts were identified by only modeling mutually exclusive request 76874347.

Note 7: Mutually exclusive requests: 76874355 and 76874356. System impacts were identified by only modeling mutually exclusive request 76874355.

Note 8: Mutually exclusive requests: 76874362 and 76874364. System impacts were identified by only modeling mutually exclusive request 76874362.

Note 9: ATSS cost allocation includes all customers' mutually exclusive requests in SPP-2012-AG2.

Customer Study Number AEPM AG2-2012-037

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Total Revenu	ue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost Requirement	is
AEPM	76971840	CSWS	CSWS	10	6/1/2016	6/1/2021			\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-
•	•	•		•			•		ς -	ς -	\$ - \$	-

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76971840	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$.
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76971840	BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number CRGL AG2-2012-006

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
CRGL	76874347	WR	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	6/1/2016	6/1/2017	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	٦
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
76874347	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
•					Total	ć	ć	ć	_

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	
76874347	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2015		Yes	

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874347	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes

Planned Projects

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874347	GOODYEAR JUNCTION - MCVICAR3 115kV	8/1/2014	8/1/2014		
	MCVICAR3 - 17TH & FAIRLAWN 115kV	8/1/2014	12/1/2014		Yes

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874347	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{*}The North DC Tie is derated to 210mw indefinately.

^{*}The NORTH DC tie is scheduled for an outage beginning 1/27/2014 at 1300CPT until 4/29/2014 at 2300CPT to refurbish the facility.

^{*198} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity without consideration of prior queued STUDY requests in active Aggregate Transmission Service Studies.

^{*}Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Customer Study Number CRGL AG2-2012-007

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base		
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost Requirements
CRGL	76874349	CSWS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	6/1/2016	6/1/2017	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ - \$ -
•	•							•	ς .	\$ 4,711,353	s - s -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76874349	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	•				Total	ė	ė	ė

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	ı
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	l
76874349	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes	ı

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874349	BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{*}The North DC Tie is derated to 210mw indefinately.

^{*}The NORTH DC tie is scheduled for an outage beginning 1/27/2014 at 1300CPT until 4/29/2014 at 2300CPT to refurbish the facility.

^{*198} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity without consideration of prior queued STUDY requests in active Aggregate Transmission Service Studies.

^{*}Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Customer Study Number AG2-2012-009

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
CRGL	76874355	WR	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	6/1/2017	6/1/2018	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -	\$ -
		•	•	•		•		•	ė	\$ 4,711,353	ć	ć

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76874355	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•					Total	ė	ė	ė

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874355	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2015		Yes

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	
76874355	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes	

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874355	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{*}The North DC Tie is derated to 210mw indefinately.

^{*}The NORTH DC tie is scheduled for an outage beginning 1/27/2014 at 1300CPT until 4/29/2014 at 2300CPT to refurbish the facility.

^{*198} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity without consideration of prior queued STUDY requests in active Aggregate Transmission Service Studies.

*Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment 21 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Customer Study Number CRGL AG2-2012-010

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
CRGL	76874356	CSWS	ERCOTN	207	7 1/1/2015	1/1/2016	6/1/2017	6/1/2018	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -	\$ -
		•	•	•		•	•	•	Ś -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -	Ś -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
76874356	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
					Total	ė	ć	ć	

 $Reliability \ Projects - The \ requested \ service is \ contingent \ upon \ completion \ of \ the \ following \ upgrades. \ Cost \ is \ not \ assignable \ to \ the \ transmission \ customer.$

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	ı
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	l
76874356	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes	ı

			Earliest Start	Redispatch
Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
GRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 OKGE	1/1/2012	1/1/2012		
GRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 WFEC	1/1/2012	1/1/2012		
HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
B	ANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1 RACMMT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 OKGE RACMMT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 WFEC IUGO POWER PLANT - VALILANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC IORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	ANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1 7/1/2012 RACAMTA 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 OKGE 1/1/2012 RACAMTA 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 WFEC 1/1/2012 UIGG POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC 7/1/2012 UIGG POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC 7/1/2012 ATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1 1/1/2010 ATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1 1/1/2010	Iggrade Name	DUN

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{*}The North DC Tie is derated to 210mw indefinately.

^{*}The NORTH DC tie is scheduled for an outage beginning 1/27/2014 at 1300CPT until 4/29/2014 at 2300CPT to refurbish the facility.

^{*198} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity without consideration of prior queued STUDY requests in active Aggregate Transmission Service Studies.

^{*}Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Customer Study Number CRGL AG2-2012-012

								Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Request	sted Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date		Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
CRGL	76874362	WR	ERCOTN	20)7	1/1/2016	1/1/2017	6/1/2018	6/1/2019	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ -	\$ -
•							•	•		ć	\$ 4,711,353	ć	ć

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76874362	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•	•				Total	ė	ė	ć

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

					Earliest Start	Redispatch
	Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
ſ	76874362	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874362	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

 $[\]hbox{*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades}.$

^{*}The North DC Tie is derated to 210mw indefinately.

^{*}The NORTH DC tie is scheduled for an outage beginning 1/27/2014 at 1300CPT until 4/29/2014 at 2300CPT to refurbish the facility.

^{*198} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity without consideration of prior queued STUDY requests in active Aggregate Transmission Service Studies.

^{*}Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Customer Study Number CRGL AG2-2012-013

						Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date Potential Base				
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost Requirements
CRGL	76874364	CSWS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2016	1/1/2017	6/1/2018	6/1/2019	\$ -	\$ 4,711,353	\$ - \$
•						•			ć	\$ 4,711,353	ė ė

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
76874364	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	•				Total	Ś -	Ś -	Ś -	1

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76874364	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes

			Earliest Start	Redispatch
Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
GRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 OKGE	1/1/2012	1/1/2012		
GRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 WFEC	1/1/2012	1/1/2012		
HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
E	NANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1 SRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 OKGE SRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 WFEC HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 34SKV CKT 1 WFEC FORTHWEST - TATONGA 34SKV CKT 1	ANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	DUN EOC	DUN EOC Date

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{*}The North DC Tie is derated to 210mw indefinately.

^{*}The NORTH DC tie is scheduled for an outage beginning 1/27/2014 at 1300CPT until 4/29/2014 at 2300CPT to refurbish the facility.

^{*198} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity without consideration of prior queued STUDY requests in active Aggregate Transmission Service Studies.

^{*}Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

Customer Study Number CRGL AG2-2012-024

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
CRGL	76970366	OKGE	EES	100	12/1/2013	12/1/2018	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	\$ -	\$ 7,680,000	\$ -	\$ -
,	•								\$ -	\$ 7,680,000	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76970366	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	•				Total	ė	ė	ė

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76970366	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2015		Yes

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76970366	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number GRDX AG2-2012-002

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
GRDX	76771987	OKGE	GRDA	48	12/1/2012	2/1/2033	8/1/2014	10/1/2034	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Base Plan Funding	Directly Assigned	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	for Wind	for Wind	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
7677	987 None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	•				Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	ı
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	ı
76771987	OKAY - UNARCO 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017			ı

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76771987	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number GSECGS AG2-2012-020

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	76945034	SPS	SPS	15	1/1/2015	12/31/2039	6/1/2017	6/1/2042	\$ 200,713	\$ -	\$ 200,713	\$ 628,932
					•				\$ 200,713	ć	\$ 200,713	\$ 628,932

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated	IE&C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76945034	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Accelerate	6/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	200,713	\$ 401,426	\$ 628,932
•					Total	ć	200 713	\$ 401.426	¢ 629.022

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	
76945034	Hitchland 230/115/13.2 kV Transformer Ckt 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76945034	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number GSECGS AG2-2012-021

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	76945060	SPS	SPS	15	1/1/2015	12/31/2039	6/1/2017	6/1/2042	\$ 200,713	\$ -	\$ 200,713	\$ 628,932
									\$ 200,713		\$ 200,713	\$ 628,932

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated	d E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76945060	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Accelerate	6/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	200,713	\$ 401,426	\$ 628,932
	•				Total	ć	200 713	¢ 401.436	¢ 629.022

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	
76945060	Hitchland 230/115/13.2 kV Transformer Ckt 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76945060	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number HZN AG2-2012-018

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
HZN	76941507	WR	EES	85	1/1/2015	1/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	\$ -	\$ 6,528,000	\$ -	\$
									\$ -	\$ 6,528,000	Ś -	\$

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76941507	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	•				Total	ė	ė	ė

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	
76941507	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2015			

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76941507	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number KCPS AG2-2012-033

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Star	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
KCPS	76971296	KCPL	MPS	17	0 6/1/20	14 6/1/20	19 6/1/2015	6/1/2020	\$ 2,391,200	\$ -	\$ 2,391,200	\$ 2,729,562
•	•			•	•				\$ 2,391,200	ć	\$ 2,391,200	\$ 2,729,562

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Alloca	ted E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76971296	GRANDVIEW TAP - RICHARDS-GEBAUER AIR FORCE BASE 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			\$	2,391,200	\$ 2,391,200	\$ 2,729,562
					Total	¢	2 391 200	\$ 2,391,200	\$ 2,729,562

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	
76971296	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2015		Yes	

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	l
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	l
76971296	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006			l

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number PSCM AG2-2012-022

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base		
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost Requirements
PSCM	76955870	SPS	LAM345	101	3/1/2013	3/1/2018	4/30/2015	4/30/2020	\$ -	\$ 11,235,240	\$ - \$ -
•				•			•		ć	\$ 11,235,240	ė ė

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
76955870	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•	•				Total	ė	ć	ć

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76955870	NEWHART INTERCHANGE PROJECT	8/1/2014	4/30/2015		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76955870	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number SECI AG2-2012-015

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop Date	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Requirements
SECI	76902942	SECI	SECI	5	5 1/1/2014	1/1/2044	6/1/2016	6/1/2046	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•		,		•	•	•	•	•	ć	ć	ė	ć

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
76902942	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
•		•			Total	ς -	ς -	\$ -	1

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76902942	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1 #2	8/1/2014	6/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Clark County - Thistle 345 kV dbl Ckt	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Hitchland - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt OKGE	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Hitchland - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt SPS	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Spearville - Clark County 345 kV dbl Ckt	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Thistle - Wichita 345 kV dbl Ckt PW	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Thistle - Wichita 345 kV dbl Ckt WERE	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Thistle - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt OKGE	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Thistle - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt PW	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Tuco - Woodward 345 kV line OKGE	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	Line - Tuco - Woodward 345 kV line SPS	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes
	XFR - Thistle 345/138 kV	8/1/2014	1/1/2015		Yes

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

					Earliest Start	Redispatch	L
F	Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	ı
	76902942	HAYS PLANT - VINE STREET 115KV CKT 1 #1	8/1/2014	6/1/2015		Yes	ı

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76902942	Lancer - North Judson Large 115KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes
	Lancer - Spearville 345KV CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes
	Lancer 345/115/13.8kV Transformer CKT 1	8/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
76902942	CLIFTON - GREENLEAF 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2013		
	Lancer - North Judson Large 115KV CKT 1	10/1/2013	6/1/2016		
	Lancer - Spearville 345KV CKT 1	10/1/2013	6/1/2016		
	Lancer 345/115/13.8kV Transformer CKT 1	10/1/2013	6/1/2016		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Upgrade Required		Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
MIPU	GRANDVIEW TAP - RICHARDS-GEBAUER AIR FORCE BASE 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 3.92 miles	6/1/2019	6/1/2019	\$2,391,200.00
SPS	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Accelerate	Upgrade transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2015	6/1/2017	\$401,426.00

Construction Pending Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
MKEC	Lancer - North Judson Large 115KV CKT 1	Build appoximately 20 mile 115 kV line	8/1/2014	6/1/2016
MKEC	Lancer - Spearville 345KV CKT 1	Build appoximately 0.5 mile 345 kV line	8/1/2014	6/1/2016
MKEC	Lancer 345/115/13.8kV Transformer CKT 1	Build new Lancer Substation with 345/115 kV transformer	8/1/2014	6/1/2016
SPS	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	Build 6.3 mile 115 kV line from Mustang to Shell CO2 Build a new 6.9 mile 115kV line between the Mustang and Shell CO2 substations.	6/1/2015	6/1/2017
SPS	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019

Planned Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
		Build 3.25 miles of 115kV from Goodyear to MacVicar. 223 MVA Rate A.		
WERE	GOODYEAR JUNCTION - MCVICAR3 115kV	245 MVA Rate B.	8/1/2014	8/1/2014
		Build 3.6 miles of 115kV from MacVicar to 17th & Fairlawn. 223 MVA Rate		
WERE	MCVICAR3 - 17TH & FAIRLAWN 115kV	A. 245 MVA Rate B.	8/1/2014	12/1/2014

Expansion Plan Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
		Build a new 86 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity		
		from the Thistle 345 kV substation to the new Clark County substation.		
		Build a new 345 kV substation at Thistle with a ring bus and necessary		
ITCGP	Line - Clark County - Thistle 345 kV dbl Ckt	terminal equipment.	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Build a new 36 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity		
		from the Spearville substation to the new Clark County substation. Build		
		the Clark County 345 kV substation with a ring bus and necessary terminal		
ITCGP	Line - Spearville - Clark County 345 kV dbl Ckt	equipment.	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Install a 400 MVA 345/138 kV transformer at the new 345 kV Thistle		
ITCGP	XFR - Thistle 345/138 kV	substation.	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Tap Nashua 345kV bus in Hawthorn - St. Joseph 345 kV line. Build new 345		
KACP	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	kV line from latan to Nashua,Add Nashua 345/161 kV	8/1/2014	6/1/2015
		Tear down and rebuild of exisiting South Hays - Hays Plant 115 kV line.		
		Tentative plans include rebuilding on existing right-of-way with the		
		possibility of re-routing a portion of the line to new right-of-way as		
MIDW	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1 #2	necessary.	8/1/2014	6/1/2015
		Build a new 92 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity		
		from the Woodward District EHV substation to the SPS interception from		
		the Hitchland substation. Upgrade the Woodward District EHV substation		
OKGE	Line - Hitchland - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt OKGE	with the necessary breakers and term	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		·		
		Build a new 79 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity		
		from the Woodward District EHV substation to the Kansas/Oklahoma state		
		border towards the Thistle substation. Upgrade the Woodward Distric EHV		
OKGE	Line - Thistle - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt OKGE	substation with the necessary brea	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Build new 345 kV line from Woodward EHV to Border - Project costs now		
OKGE	Line - Tuco - Woodward 345 kV line OKGE	include Border reactor substation	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Build a new 78 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity		
PW	Line - Thistle - Wichita 345 kV dbl Ckt PW	from the Wichita substation to the new Thistle 345 kV substation.	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Build a new 30 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity	.,,	.,
		from the Thistle substation to the Kansas/Oklahoma state border towards		
PW	Line - Thistle - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt PW	the Woodward District EHV substation.	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
			0,2,2021	-, -,
		Build 30 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity from		
		the Hitchland substation to the OGE interception point from the		
		Woodward District EHV substation. Upgrade the Hitchland substation with		
SPS	Line - Hitchland - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt SPS	the necessary breakers and terminal equipme	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
5. 5	and the mental woodward state of the state o	Build new 345 kV line from Tuco to OGE Border station near TX/OK	0,1,2011	1/1/2013
		Stateline. Install line reactor outside Border station and line reactors at		
SPS	Line - Tuco - Woodward 345 kV line SPS	Tuco.	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
			0,1,2017	1, 1, 2013
		Tap the Potter Interchange - Plant X Station 230 kV line for new Newhart		
		Substation and install 230/115 kV, 150/173 MVA transformer. New 15 mile		
		Lampton Interchange - Hart Industrial Substation 115 kV line. New 19 mile		
SPS	NEWHART INTERCHANGE PROJECT	Swisher County Interchange - Newhart	8/1/2014	4/30/2015
Jr J	INEWHANT INTENCHANGE FAUJECT	Upgrade the Wichita substation with the necessary breakers and terminal	0/1/2014	4/30/2013
		equipment to accommodate two new 345 kV circuits from the new Thistle		
WERE	Line - Thistle - Wichita 345 kV dbl Ckt WERE	345 kV substation	8/1/2014	1/1/2015
WENE	Line - Thistie - Wichita 343 KV UDI CKL WERE	242 KV SUDSIGNON	8/1/2014	1/1/2015

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
		Remove the line clearance violations to increase the rating to 223MVA for		
GRDA	OKAY - UNARCO 69KV CKT 1	Summer/Winter, Normal/Emergency	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
MIDW	HAYS PLANT - VINE STREET 115KV CKT 1 #1	Replace Wavetrap	8/1/2014	6/1/2015
		Upgrade 800A wave trap at both Bushland Interchange and Deaf Smith		
		Interchange to at least 428 MVA Winter Rate B. Deaf Smith - Replace		
		existing wave trap so that the limiting factor of K-11 terminal at Deaf		
SPS	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	Smith will be no less than 1200 A.	10/1/2014	6/1/2016
SPS	Hitchland 230/115/13.2 kV Transformer Ckt 2	Build a second 230/115/13.2 kV transformer at Hitchland.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019

Network Upgrades requiring credits per Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	Replace 138 kV breakers 3300 & 3310	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	Vallient 345 KV line terminal	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
KACP	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	KCPL Sponsored Project to Reconductor Line to be In-Service by 6/1/2006	6/1/2006	6/1/2006
MKEC	CLIFTON - GREENLEAF 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 14.4 miles	6/1/2011	6/1/2013
MKEC	Lancer - North Judson Large 115KV CKT 1	Build appoximately 20 mile 115 kV line	10/1/2013	6/1/2016
MKEC	Lancer - Spearville 345KV CKT 1	Build appoximately 0.5 mile 345 kV line	10/1/2013	6/1/2016
MKEC	Lancer 345/115/13.8kV Transformer CKT 1	Build new Lancer Substation with 345/115 kV transformer	10/1/2013	6/1/2016
OKGE	GRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 OKGE	Build 138kV Terminal.	1/1/2012	1/1/2012
OKGE	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Install 345/138 kV XF	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
WFEC	GRACMNT4 138.00 - WASHITA 138KV CKT 2 WFEC	Build approximately 6 miles of 138kV.	1/1/2012	1/1/2012
WFEC	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	New 19 miles 345 KV	7/1/2012	7/1/2012

Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

1	Fransmission Owner	UpgradeName	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
		None				